1														
2														
3														
4														
5														
6														
7														
8														
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT													
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA													
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION													
12	HANNAZ, INC., ) Case No.: C 10-03354 PSG )													
13	Plaintiff, ) ORDER TO REASSIGN CASE TO U.S. v. ) DISTRICT JUDGE; REPORT AND													
14	MERCILINDA CRUZ AND EDUARDO ) RECOMMENDATION RE DISMISSAI FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE													
15	CRUZ, ET AL.,													
16	Defendants. ) )													
17	On January 4, 2011, the parties failed to appear for a case management conference. As a													
18	result, the court issued an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to													
19	prosecute. The response deadline was January 18, 2011. To date, no response has been filed.													
20	Plaintiff has not yet consented to jurisdiction by a magistrate judge.													
21	Accordingly, the above-captioned action shall be reassigned to a U.S. District Judge with a													
22	report and recommendation that the case be dismissed for failure to prosecute.													
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.													
24	Dated: February 4, 2011 Pore S. Africa													
25	PAUL S. GREWAL													
26	United States Magistrate Judge													
27														
28														

ORDER, page 1

	Case :	5.1U-CV	/-0333	14-JF	DUCU	шеп	17	Fileu	02/02	+/ ⊥⊥	Page	2 01 /	_	
1														
2														
3														
4														
5														
6														
7														
8														
9														
10														
11														
12														
13														
14														
15														
16														
17														
18														
19														
20														
21														
22														
23														
24														
25														
26														
27														
28														